

Preparation of Olefins from Alcohols by Thermal Rearrangement of Propargylic Xanthates

Mélanie Fauré-Tromeur^a and Samir Z. Zard ^{a,b*}#

a) Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, CNRS, F-91198 Gif-Sur-Yvette, France.

b) Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique Associé au CNRS, Ecole Polytechnique, F-91128 Palaiseau, France. Received 27 October 1998; accepted 14 December 1998

Abstract: Upon heating in toluene in the presence of a catalytic amount of collidinium trifluorosulfonate salt, various S-propargylic xanthates derived from secondary alcohols can be easily converted to their corresponding olefins in good yields. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

The large number of existing olefin-forming elimination reactions reflects the importance of alkenes as synthetic intermediates and as ultimate targets. Because of this prominence, new reagents and processes are constantly being developed which offer some advantage over known procedures for alkene formation.

Several methods are thus known for the dehydration of alcohols to olefins. Earlier approaches involved acid catalysed dehydration or base induced elimination of the corresponding halide, mesylate, or tosylate.³ These somewhat harsh conditions do not always tolerate sensitive functionalities. Martin *et al.*⁴ proposed a milder method of dehydration involving sulfuranes, and this was successfuly applied for example to the preparation of calciferols⁵ and pyrethroid amides.⁶ Burgess *et al.*⁷ reported the preparation of methyl(carboxysulfamoyl)triethyl ammonium hydroxide inner salt and showed it to be a useful reagent for mild dehydration of primary alcohols. Crabbé,⁸ Caspi⁹ and many others interested in total synthesis¹⁰ have demonstrated the applicability of this reaction to various polyfunctional molecules.

Other routes to alkenes include the pyrolysis of p-tolylthiocarbonates, ¹¹ carbamates, ¹² N-methyl-4-alkoxypyridinium iodides, ¹³ or xanthates (Chugaev reaction), ¹⁴ Yields are often reasonable, but carbon skeleton rearrangements can occur, due to the high temperature involved. Thermal decomposition of alkoxides of magnesium, zinc, and aluminium represents another alternative, but isomerisation remains a potential problem. ¹⁵ Finally, conversion of alcohols into aryl selenides or sulfides constitutes a convenient and efficient way to introduce olefins through syn-elimination of the corresponding selenoxides or sulfoxides. ¹⁶

$$\begin{array}{c} R_1 & H \\ R_2 & OH \end{array} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c} 1) \text{ Base} \\ 2) \text{ CS}_2 \\ 3) \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X & R_1 & H \\ R_2 & O \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} A & R_1 & H \\ R_2 & O & R_2 \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} A &$$

We recently reported¹⁷ a new process for the synthesis of esters and for the inversion of secondary alcohols, which occurs upon refluxing a toluene or chlorobenzene solution of an S-propargyl xanthate derived from the appropriate secondary alcohol in the presence of a carboxylic acid (Scheme 1). As some olefins

were isolated in some cases as by-products of the reaction, we modified the system to completely favour the olefins by refluxing the S-propargyl xanthates in toluene in the presence of a catalytic amount of collidinium trifluorosulfonate 2. This salt, prepared by mixing collidine with an equivalent of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid in ether at 0°C, acts as a protonating agent for the betain, but is devoid of nucleophilic character.

Our conception is outlined in Scheme 2. Salt 2 retains sufficient acidity to protonate the betain intermediate and convert it into a powerful leaving group. Colliding then mediates the β -elimination by removing the β -proton and regenerates salt 2 in the process. This leads to the formation of the desired olefin in good yield, as demonstrated by the examples collected in Table 1.

$$R_1$$
 OH $\frac{1}{2}$ CS₂ R_1 O $\frac{1}{3}$ R_2 $\frac{1}{3}$ R_2 $\frac{1}{3}$ R_2 $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1$

The simplicity of the method is worth underlining. The transformations described in this study were thus accomplished by mere heating S-propargylic xanthates 1 and the catalyst 2 (10 mol%) under reflux in toluene with stirring. The reactions were monitored by TLC and, after completion, the olefins 3, uncontaminated with by-products other than the isomeric dithiolones 4, were easily isolated by column chromatography. For example, alkenes derived from steroidal alcohols are cleanly produced, as shown by the transformation of xanthate 1g into olefin 3g in 88% yield.

When the xanthate group is located at the 17- β position, as in 1f and 1h, direct elimination is slower than the cascade of Wagner-Merwein rearrangements which leads ultimately to a mixture of two isomers (3f and 3h respectively), as depicted in Scheme 3. Because the 18-methyl carbon is not well positioned with respect to the leaving group, direct migration is stereoelectronically disfavoured in comparison with an initial ring D contraction. These results are consistent with the observations of Ohmori and co-workers. ¹⁹ A similar — but this time direct—migration of a methyl group was observed in the case of terpene 1i.

Scheme 3

Table 1: Elimination reaction from S-propargyl xanthates

Entry	Xanthate (1)	Olefins (3)	Yields (%)
a	S S Ph	i Ph	98
b	MeO OMe S	MeO OMe MeO OMe	64 (20/80)*
c	OMe S	OMe OMe	75 (20/80)*
đ	CO ₂ Me	CO ₂ Me	71
e	\$ 1. A 1.		84
f			76** (50/50)*
g	s o H		88
h	Aco H	According to the second	quant. (50/50)*
i	s o the		61

^{*} The isomers could not be separated; the proportions given were calculated from the ¹H NMR spectrum. For xanthates 1b and 1c, 4-aryl-2-butene were the major products.

*** 14% of mono- and 62% of di-elimination products (the latter as a 1:1 mixture of isomers) were isolated after chromatography.

The thermal decomposition of S-propargyl xanthates in the presence of a mild non nucleophilic acid represents thus a facile means for the dehydration of secondary alcohols. Yields are good, although some isomerisation occurs in a few cases. Even relatively acid labile alcohols such as 1e can be successfully dehydrated (extra amounts of pure collidine may be added to ensure a neutral medium in the case of especially sensitive substrates). It must be pointed out that no elimination occured when the propargylic xanthates were heated alone in toluene, indicating that the olefins were not formed through the classical Chugaev reaction, which in the case of secondary alcohols requires much higher temperatures.

Acknowledgements: We wish to thank Rhône-Poulenc S. A. for generous financial support and Dr. Vivien Henryon for many friendly discussions.

References and notes.

- (a) Bartsch, R. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1975, 8, 239. (b) Saunders, W. H. Jr.; Cockerill, A. F. "Mecanisms of Elimination Reactions", Wiley, New York, N. Y. (1973). (c) Patai, Ed. "The Chemistry of Alkenes", Interscience, New York, N. Y. (1964).
 (d) Banthorpe, D. V. "Elimination Reactions", Elsevier, Amsterdam (1963).
- 2. Larock, R. C. "Comprehensive Organic Transformations", V.C.H. publishers, 1989, 151-154.
- 3. March, J. "Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mecanisms and Structure", Wiley, New York, 1992, 1011-1015.
- (a) Martin, J. C.; Arhart, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 4327-4329.
 (b) Martin, J. C.; Arhart, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 5003-5010.
- Baggiolini, E. G.; Iacobelli, J. A.; Henessy, B. M.; Batcho, A. D.; Sereno, J. F.; Uskokovic, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3098-3108.
- 6. Majewski, M.; Snieckus, V. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2682-2687.
- 7. (a) Atkins, G. M. Jr.; Burgess, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 4744. (b) Burgess, A. M.; Penton, H. R. Jr.; Taylor, E. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 5224-5226.
- 8. Crabbé, P.; Leon, C, J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 2594-2596.
- 9. O'Grodnick, J. S.; Ebersole, R. C.; Wittstruck, T.; Caspi, E. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 2124-2126.
- (a) Jendralla, H.; Jelich, K.; Delucca, G.; Paquette, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3731-3739. (b) Danishefsky, S. J.;
 Harrison, P. J.; Webb II, R. R.; O'Neill, B. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1421-1423. (c) Somich, C.; Mazzocchi, P. H.;
 Ammon, H. L. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3614-3619. (d) Heβ, T.; Zdero, C.; Bohlmann, F. Tetrahedron lett. 1987, 28, 5643-5646. (e) Paquette, L. A.; Dressel, J.; Pansegrau, P. D. Tetrahedron lett. 1987, 42, 4965-4968.
- (a) Gerlach, H.; Huong, T. T.; Müller, W. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1972, 1215-1216.
 (b) Crimmins, M. T.; DeLoach, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 800-806.
- (a) Newman, M. S.; Hetzel, F. W. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 3604-3606.
 (b) Atkinson, R. F.; Balko, T. W.; Westman, T. R.; Sypniewski, G. C.; Carmody, M. A.; Pauler, C. T.; Schade, C. L.; Carlter, D. E.; Pham, H. T.; Barea, F. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2804-2806.
- 13. Schmidt, G. H.; Wolkoff, A. W. Can. J. Chem. 1972, 50, 1181-1187.
- 14. (a) Nace, H. R. Org. Reactions 1962, 12, 57-100. (b) Paquette, L. A.; Trova, M. P. Tetrahedron lett. 1987, 28, 2795-2798.
- Ashby, E. C.; Willard, G. F.; Goel, A. B. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1221-1232. (b) Simpson, J. E. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1340-1342.
- (a) Grieco, P. A.; Gilman, S.; Nishizawa, M. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 1485-1486.
 (b) White, J. D.; Kuo, S. C.; Vedananda, T. R. Tetrahedron lett. 1987, 28, 3061-3064.
- 17. (a) Boivin, J.; Henriet, E. B.; Zard, S. Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 9739-9740. (b) Tromeur-Fauré, M.; Zard, S. Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 7301-7304.
- 18. Typical experimental procedure: A solution of xanthate 1g (0.5 mmol.) and salt 2 (0.05 mmol.) in toluene (5 ml) was heated to reflux for several hours. The solution was allowed to cool and the crude product was purified by chromatography after removal of the solvent to give 2-cholestene 3g in 88% yield. Xanthates 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 1e, 1f, 1g, 1h and 1i were obtained by alkylation with propargyl bromide of the xanthate salts derived from the respective alcohols and carbon disulfide.
- 19. Maeda, H.; Koide, T.; Matsumoto, S.; Ohmori, H. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1996, 44, 1480-1483.